

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/808,745	OSTUNI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deborah K. Ware	1651	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Deborah K. Ware.

(3) _____.

(2) Tim Oyer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

rejections removed

Claims discussed:

pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:

art removed

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' Representative authorized the changes by examiner's amendment to put case into condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/808,745	Applicant(s) OSTUNI ET AL.	
	Examiner Deborah K. Ware	Art Unit 1651	

All Participants:

(1) Deborah K. Ware.

(2) Tim Oyer.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: FINAL

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 5:45pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 103 rejections

Claims discussed:
proposed amendments to claims

Prior art documents discussed:
Singhvi and WO Patent cited of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed the after final amendment filed December 29, 2003, and examiner indicated that the amendments and the claims will be considered on the merits.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



DEBORAH K. WARE
PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)